Copyright Lighting & Sound America November 2014 http://www.lightingandsoundamerica.com/LSA.html

Stage Lighting and the Environment

By: Katie Oman

A year-long study yields some highly surprising results

Ever since we began paying attention to ecological sustainability, stage lighting has seemed like a big environmental villain. Its offenses are many: hundreds of high-wattage luminaires for every show suggest exorbitant energy consumption. Inefficient tungsten lamps emit a lot of heat relative to the amount of light they produce and drive up air conditioning loads. This vilification has been compounded by proposed regulations barring the production of general-service incandescent lamps, perpetuating the idea that stage lighting represents an environmental threat. As theatres and other performing arts venues have intensified their interest in environmental impact reduction, stage lighting has come under increasing scrutiny.

"The data supports a case against eliminating tungsten lamps in the name of ecological sustainability."

Despite these concerns, little data exists regarding the environmental impact of stage lighting for the theatre. Most theatre electrical systems are not sub-metered, and those that are rarely isolate the stage lighting to allow accurate power tracking. (If anyone is sub-metering their stage lighting, please contact me!) Close attention to energy consumption is uncommon in American cultural buildings. In the UK and the rest of Europe, cultural facilities are subject to more environmental scrutiny, but definitive measurements for performance lighting alone are hard to come by. As a result, the actual power use of stage lighting is largely unknown, and it remains an environmental bogeyman.

Up to now, most efforts toward reducing stage lighting's energy demand have been focused on switching from incandescent to higherefficacy sources. Engineering advances have brought LED stage lighting products to the marketplace, and the energy savings they offer is used to justify their high initial cost. Although LEDs have only recently been applied to white frontlighting, they have a long track record of success in applications where deeply colored gels reduce the transmission of incandescent light to a tiny fraction of its output. Their ability to emit highly saturated colored light without loss of luminous efficacy makes them attractive options for deep washes and accents. By and large, however, LED stage lighting luminaires remain very expensive, and little comparative data exists regarding luminaire efficiency or heat output that would allow us to compare them directly to conventional incandescent products.

A study to gather power use data

Theatre planning and design firm Fisher Dachs Associates, in collabo-

ration with Seattle Repertory Theatre (SRT), conceived a project to gather data that would help inform environmental decision-making about stage lighting. It was a part of a larger study to identify a range of projects that would help the organization address ecological sustainability in achievable ways. These ranged from no-brainers (setting the printers and copiers to double-sided) to costly systems upgrades that could reap thousands of dollars in annual utility savings (replacement of aging air-handling units). To examine the environmental effects of the stage lighting, we had to find a way to measure the energy it consumes.

Because sub-metering the stage lighting power feeds was impractical, we used the FocusTrack database to measure the stage lighting energy use over the season's eight productions in SRT's two spaces: the 250-seat Leo Kreielsheimer Theatre and the 850seat Bagley Wright Theatre. FocusTrack software, designed by Rob Halliday, records rig and cue input from the control console as well as other information such as focus areas, lamp use, and gobo and color data. Rob helped us optimize it to use the cue timing, channel levels, and rig data to calculate the electricity use for each cue and then for the entire performance.

As the season progressed, SRT's lighting department recorded detailed show data and tabulated the hours spent in focus and rehearsal onstage. We extrapolated each show's energy use throughout the number of performances and rehearsals and used that data to estimate the use during tech and onstage rehearsal. Although




Chart 1: Production power profile: Seattle Rep 2011-12 season.

the data are not the result of direct power metering, we believe they nevertheless give an accurate idea of energy consumption.

Results illustrate energy realities

The data offer a number of insights,

both for individual shows and the season as a whole. At the study's outset, given stage lighting's reputation for energy-guzzling, we had some expectations about the results. First, we thought that energy use for the season would be so high that we'd be able to show how important it is to pay attention to the environmental impact of stage lighting. Second, we thought we'd get measurements that would help us calculate the payback period for LED stage lights. Third, we thought we might be able to justify replacing existing equipment with LEDs on the basis of utility cost savings alone. Turns out, it wasn't quite that easy! Chart 1, above, illustrates the stage



Manufacturing Quality Lighting Fixtures Since 1934

ALL THE WORLD'S A STAGE.

Introducing the TX Series Themed-Architectural Track Heads from Times Square Lighting, utilizing Xicato 700-3000 Lumen LED Modules.

5 Holt Drive • Stony Point, NY 10980 • (845) 947-3034 240 West 37th Street • Suite 318 • New York, NY 10018 • (212) 391-5865 www.tslight.com lighting power use for six of the eight shows in the 2011 – 2012 season (for the first two productions, Humor Abuse and Circle Mirror Transformation, integrating cue-tracking was still in progress).

It's not only neat to look at but gives us a holistic representation of the power use for these productions. Perhaps most obvious is the enormous variability in power consumption between shows and from cue to cue. Though this variability might not come as much of a surprise to lighting designers, it's a valuable illustration of how much power consumption can vary even between productions of similar size and genre. The vast majority of the shows' power demands fall below 40kW-only around 20% of the average total connected load and likely much less heat than the building's HVAC systems were originally designed to handle. See Chart 2 for a comparison of each show's possible -versus-actual power use.




Chart 2: Possible vs. actual power use.

Further, conventional wisdom suggests that the curtain call will typically be the highest-energy cue in a given show. Though they are easily identifiable in the overall energy-use chart, they show up only as brief spikes and don't come near rivaling many other high-powered cues. Examining the shows' periods of highest energy demand (in excess of 75kW), we find that these are mostly cues where incandescent cyc washes were used at high intensities, and often with deeply saturated gel. This suggests that to reduce overall stage lighting energy use, higher-efficiency cyc lighting may be a good place to start. LED cyc luminaires are not new to the market, and although they remain costly as compared with incandescent strips, the lamp life is much longer, color media are not required even for highly

"We've got a machine for that."








Chart 3: Total connected load vs. maximum power draw.

saturated washes, and the dynamic color control of the luminaires is attractive to designers.

Comparing the power demand of these highest-energy cues also shows the large disparity between the power available and what is actually used. One might guess that the peak power draw for a particular production will be a large percentage of the total connected load. In SRT's 2011 – 2012 season, only *Clybourne Park*'s brightest cue used more than half of the total connected load, and the other shows used an average of 30% less as shown on Chart 3.

In the US, the economic implications of the availability of power in a building are confined mostly to new construction where reduced load forecasts might allow savings in the cost of electrical supply systems; we pay for power as we use it. However, in UK and other parts of Europe, building owners and operators pay not only for the kilowatt-hours they use but also an annual payment per megawatt of available power. In this case, an understanding of how power feeds can be minimized without affecting designers' artistic options could have important financial implications.

Examining the power use totals for the entire season yielded the biggest surprises. While we expected to discover that SRT was spending a fortune each year on electricity for stage lighting, the total power used by the stage lighting for the entire season came out to just under 25,000kWh. Not only does this amount to less than \$1,600 (using Seattle's commercial power rate of about \$0.065 per kWh), but it also makes up only 2% of SRT's



annual energy bill. Cutting the stage lighting power demand by 75% (a possibility offered by an all-LED rig) would not amount to an institutionally significant cost savings. In locations where power is more costly-in and around New York City the rate can be \$0.19 or \$0.20 per kWh-a \$5,000 bill for the season would still remain insignificant relative to the rest of the building's energy costs. It should be noted that Seattle Rep's facilities are pretty typical for a regional theatre; the two theatres and their stages make up a large portion of the building, and while it houses scenic, costume, office, and rehearsal spaces, the lobbies are relatively small, and there is no restaurant on site. Thus, the building can't be characterized as an energy hog that would make the stage lighting power use look small by comparison. This only serves to underscore the insignificance of stage lighting's contribution to the total.

Taking into account the energy use

of cooling systems that counteract heat gains from the stage lighting is a bit more difficult. Ignoring quiescent loads, the complexities of stage and auditorium cooling zones, and issues of thermal storage in maintaining comfort conditions, we can examine the sensible heat from stage lighting on the basis of its wattage alone. If, theoretically, cooling systems are always on during performances due to the heat loads imposed by the presence of an audience, we can assume that any reduction in heat from the stage lighting will result in a parallel reduction in the work required of the cooling system. During tech and rehearsal, in the audience's absence, the cooling systems might not be engaged at all, and energy from the stage lighting would actually reduce the work required of the heating systems.

If the cooling systems' load resulting from the stage lighting systems during performances was reduced by 75% throughout SRT's 2011 – 2012 season (again using theoretical all-LED rigs), it might save about 34mBTU over the season, about 40% of the heat loads imposed by a conventional rig. The cost implications of these hypothetical savings are impossible to ascertain in the case of SRT because they use a central chilled-water cooling system that is shared throughout the Seattle Center campus. Further, Seattle's climate is relatively mild, so work required of the cooling systems will be far less than in hotter, more humid climates. The small cost of the entire season's stage lighting electricity use suggests that a partial reduction in cooling demand will not make a significant cost difference in the annual energy bills.

However, the data does suggest that for a new building, significant capital cost savings could result from a reduction in the peak capacity of cooling systems, and therefore the physical size of those systems and the related space devoted to air supply





WWW.SHOWSAGE.COM SALES@Showsage.com 855-749-7243



LIVE THEATER CONCERTS FILM & TV THEME PARKS HOUSES OF WORSHIP MUSEUMS & ATTRACTIONS VISITOR CENTERS TRADE SHOWS EXHIBITIONS CORPORATE PRESENTATION & DISPLAY







Chart 4 - Seattle Rep annual energy cost profile. Source: McKinstry Energy Audit 2010.

and return. In performance halls requiring quiet HVAC systems with very low air velocities (and therefore large ducts), this space can add significantly to building volume and therefore drive many other costs higher. A close examination of the Rep's data shows that the median peak cue power is only about 30% of the total connected load, and the brightest cues last for an average duration of less than one minute, while the vast majority of every show is spent drawing much less power than the peak. This suggests that the stage lighting systems may be generating less heat than the building HVAC systems were designed to handle. Further data monitoring of stage lighting may inform more precise systems design and capital cost savings for new buildings and systems.

In new construction, these savings, along with the possibility of reducing dimmer capacity, could be enough to justify the cost of LED lighting equip-



ment to outfit a new space. In spaces where the lighting is always on or where it drives a large cooling demand, such as broadcast spaces or concert halls, the payback equation may also favor using very-high-efficacy light sources. However, in existing

"Changes in stage lighting sources in existing buildings ought to be made on the basis of artistic objectives, not energy savings."

theatres with existing systems, a large investment in high-efficiency stage lighting appears to have little chance of saving enough energy to pay for itself in a reasonable period. Taking into account cost savings from reduced lamp failure and gel use can improve prospects considerably, especially for cyc lighting, but saving energy through investing in high-efficiency sources is likely to be much more effective off-stage than on. It would appear that theatres should invest in high-efficiency stage lighting equipment on the basis of benefits it offers to designers for color control and quality of light.

So where do we go from here?

These findings need corroboration from other studies before solid conclusions can be drawn. However, the study does help set a direction for deeper inquiry into stage lighting's ecological profile. First, the data supports a case against eliminating tungsten lamps in the name of ecological sustainability. While higher-efficacy sources will offer energy savings in certain applications (especially saturated color washes), a total switch to non-incandescent sources just won't make that much of a dent in a theatre's energy consumption. It would be better to replace lobby, shop, and circulation space lighting to reap the energy and cost savings and to worry more about patron transportation, waste management, building systems, and other more formidable environmental foes. Changes in stage lighting sources in existing buildings ought to be made on the basis of artistic objectives, not energy savings.

Of course we may find, with further analysis, that new facilities with all-LED rigs may be able to reduce the size of their air handling systems, and thus see capital building and equipment cost savings significant enough to justify the cost of the luminaires. Likewise, in applications where access to power is a problem, or where energy costs make up a bigger portion of the total costs of production, it may be possible to make the case for a more reasonable payback period on an energy-efficient lighting investment.





Mother Nature is about to steal the show.

Unexpected and violent weather is only one of the things that can zap your entertainment business right out of business.

Consider transportation delays and mix-ups. Fire and theft. Vandalism and incompetence. Earthquakes and tsunamis. It's a scary world out there. That's why the first step of any event safety plan is having the right insurance.

So ask yourself: Am I covered? Is my coverage year round? Am I covered over 100% of -the planet? If you're not 100% sure, talk to Take 1.

We offer the most comprehensive and costeffective insurance for the live and non-live entertainment industry. And we're 100% sure we have just the coverage you need.

Visit us at **take1insurance.com** and get a quote or call us at **800.856.7035.** Before Mother Nature rocks your world — and blows your business away.



Take 1 is a proud member and corporate sponsor of the Event Safety Alliance.

© 2014 Take1 Insurance

Take1 is a division of U.S. Risk, Inc., a specialty lines underwriting manager and wholesale broker headquartered in Dallas, Texas. Operating 12 domestic and international branches, it offers a broad range of products and services through its affiliate companies.

SRT 2011-2012 Season, Shows, and Personnel

Humor Abuse Bagley Wright Theatre Director: Erica Schmidt Lighting design: Ben Stanton

Circle Mirror Transformation Bagley Wright Theatre Director: Andrea Allen Lighting design: Andrew Smith

Sylvia Bagley Wright Theatre Director: R. Hamilton Wright Lighting design: L. B. Morse

How to Write a New Book for the Bible Bagley Wright Theatre Director: Kent Nicholson Lighting design: Alexander Nichols

I Am My Own Wife Leo K. Theatre Director: Jerry Manning Lighting design: Robert Aquilar Red Bagley Wright Theatre Director: Richard E. T. White Lighting Design: Robert Petersen

Or, Leo K. Theatre Director: Allison Narver Lighting design: L. B. Morse

Clybourne Park Bagley Wright Theatre Director: Braden Abraham Lighting design: L. B. Morse

However, relying on energy use reduction from luminaire choice alone may not register as more than a blip on the annual utility bill.

The relative size of stage lighting's environmental impact frees us from

thinking of it as an ecological villain that we have to hide from or apologize for. Theatres around the world have made great strides in reducing their energy use by simple building upgrades that don't affect the work



use energy-efficient lamps, resulting in an estimated energy savings of more than 100,000kWh, or about \$6,000 per year. The Grand Theatre, in London, Ontario, has changed all of its building lights to LED or compact fluorescent sources and has saved more than CAD \$30,000 annually since the upgrade. The UK's Glyndebourne Opera has altered building lighting and controls, upgraded its air handling systems, and trained staff to minimize energy consumption, with the goal of reducing carbon emissions by 70% and getting most of its power from a new wind turbine. If theatres and other entertainment venues continue to engage ecological sustainability and begin to actively monitor and manage their energy consumption, we as an industry will have all the more data upon which to base informed deci-

sions in the future.

onstage. In fact, prior to this study, SRT upgraded almost all the architec-

tural lighting throughout the facility to

Katie Oman is a senior consultant with Arts Consulting Group, a leading provider of management and planning services for the cultural sector. She is a specialist in strategic facilities planning, with an emphasis on sustainable development for arts and heritage. Katie's approach combines deep technical expertise in the complexities of cultural buildings with sensitivity to the unique needs, values, and aspirations of cultural organizations. She is a LEED-accredited professional and a Certified Sustainable Building Advisor. Prior to joining ACG, Katie was a project manager with Fisher Dachs Associates, a theatre planning and design firm. She holds a BA in architecture from Princeton University and a master's in public history and cultural heritage from Trinity College Dublin. Katie loves dogs, cooking, adaptive reuse. and Gantt charts.